


IN THE UNITED STATES ARMY
FIRST JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES)	DEFENSE CLARIFICATION
)	OF COLLOQUY FOR
v.)	STIPULATIONS OF EXPECTED
)	TESTIMONY (PROSECUTION
MANNING, Bradley E., PFC)	EXHIBITS 180 & 181)
U.S. Army, (b) (7)(C))	ON 1 JULY 2013
Headquarters and Headquarters Company, U.S.)	
Army Garrison, Joint Base Myer-Henderson Hall,)	DATED: 1 JULY 2013
Fort Myer, VA 22211)	

1. On 1 July 2013 this Court completed a colloquy with PFC Manning with respect to Prosecution Exhibits 180 and 181, which are stipulations of expected testimony for OGA Witnesses.
2. Both the Government and the Court referred to the witnesses by the number that corresponded with the witnesses on Appellate Exhibit 479, a Government *Grunden* filing. Specifically, the witness for Prosecution Exhibit 180 was referred to as #3, while the witness for Prosecution Exhibit 181 was referred to as #29.
3. During the colloquy the Defense indicated that the Defense has internally referred to the witnesses from Prosecution Exhibits 180 and 181 by their corresponding numbers from Appellate Exhibit 475, the Government's most recent witness list. Specifically, the witness for Prosecution Exhibit 180 was referred to as #23 by the Defense, and the witness for Prosecution Exhibit 181 was referred to as #107 by the Defense.
4. The Defense, per the Court's request, sets forth that the individual identified as #3 in AE 479 is the same individual identified as # 23 in AE 475. Moreover, the Defense sets forth that the individual identified as #29 in AE 479 is the same individual identified as # 107 in AE 475.
5. PFC Manning was aware of the facts set forth in paragraph 4 at the time the Court engaged him in the colloquy.

Respectfully Submitted


JOSHUA J. TOOMAN
CPT, JA
Defense Counsel

APPELLATE EXHIBIT 588b
PAGE REFERENCED:
PAGE ___ OF ___ PAGES

I certify that I served or caused to be served a true copy of the above on MAJ Ashden
Fein, via electronic mail, on 1 JULY 2013.



JOSHUA J. TOOMAN
CPT, JA
Defense Counsel